Comparison of new characterized bandpass and wavelengths of Dobsons

Alberto Redondas, ¹

¹Izaña Atmospheric Research Center, AEMET, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain.

October 27, 2015



+ +

+ +

Univer de La I

Universidad de La Laguna

Redondas (AEMET)

ATMOZ Meeting Prage 2014

 $^{+}$ + +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

Outline



+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

Introduction

- ATMOZ Project
- Brewer Dobson Spectrophotometers

+② Brewer & Dobson Algorithm

Basic

- -

+ +

- + + Algortithm Asumptions
- + + next works

+3 Dobson slits measurement

- + + Ozone Absortion Coefficient Calculation
- + + ATMOZ Dobson Measurements
- ⊥ ⊥ Results

Conclusions

Redondas (AEMET)

+ + + + + + + + +

ATMOZ Traceability of the Measurement of Atmospheric Ozone





The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countriwithin EURAMET and the European Union

Traceability for atmospheric total column ozone

ATMOZ

A Joint Research Project within the European Metrology Research Programme (EMRP) Julian Gröbner & JRP consortium

Physikalisch-Meteorologisches Observatorium Davos, World Radiation Center

ATMOZ Meeting Prage 2014



EMRP-ENV59 "ATMOZ"



- Provide traceability of total column ozone to 1%,
- Radiometric characterisation of Dobson and Brewer spectrophotometers,
- o Development of array-based solar UV spectroradiometers,
- o Improved and consistent ozone absorption x-sections,
- Comprehensive uncertainty budget incorporating instrumental and atmospheric uncertainties
 - Project Coordination: PMOD/WRC, Julian Gröbner
 - **Duration:** 10/2014 9/2017
 - o Total Budget: 2.5 M€
 - **9 Partners** NMI-DI, Industry, Universities

PIR



Recovery Stages of Global Ozone

erage global ozone

Uncertainty range

EMRP

Expected return of ozone-

ing gases to 1980 levels

trology Research Progra

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating count within EURAMET and the European Union

Redondas (AEMET)

Met



What are the main challenges?

A comprehensive uncertainty assessment of total column ozone measurements from Dobson and Brewer spectrophotometers has not been made so far.

1) Seasonal total ozone differences between Brewer and Dobson in Arosa, Switzerland.







2) Dobson spectroscopy (slit functions and wavelength dispersion) are only known for Dobson #083

3) Eventual phase-out of Dobson (Brewer) requires introduction of new total ozone measuring instruments





Tzortziou et al.

Redondas (AEMET)

ATMOZ Meeting Prage 2014

How to address these issues?

1) Radiometric characterisation of current reference ozone spectroradiometers

- Dobson 083 World standard (NOAA)
- Dobson 064 / 074 Regional Standards RA VI (DWD, CHMI)
- Brewer #18x RBCC-E reference Triad instrument (AEMET)

2) Development and characterisation of array spectroradiometers

- Development of a UV optimised spectroradiometer (UV-PSR) PMOD
- Characterisation and operation of a Pandora system (ULL)
- Characterisation and operation of a Phaeton system (LAP)

3) Comprehensive uncertainty budget for total column ozone

- Measurement and uncertainty evaluation of ozone x-sections (Univ. Bremen)
- Uncertainty budgets for Brewer/Dobson & DOAS algorithms (All)

Brewer Dobson Spectrophotometers



- The primary ground-based instruments used to report total column ozone (TOC) are Brewer and Dobson Spectrometers, in separate networks. These instruments make measurements of the UV irradiances, and through a well-defined process a TOC value is produced. Inherent in the algorithm is the use of a laboratory determined cross-section data set.
- The routine measurement of TOC started in the mid-1920s with a prototype of the Dobson instrument. A world-wide network grew up after the instrument re-design in 1947 and the International Geophysical Year in 1957.
- The Brewer Ozone Spectrometer was developed in Canada during the 1970s, and a commercial, automated version became available in the early 1980s.
- As observing organizations purchased these instruments and placed them in+ + service alongside the Dobson instrument for long term measurements, the + + seasonal and offset differences in the results became evident. + +

+ +

+ +

Introduction



GOBIERNO DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, ALIMENTACIÓN Y MEDIO AMBIENTE

Agencia Estatal de Meteorol

Redondas (AEMET)

ATMOZ Meeting Prage 2014

Introduction



- Measurements by both types of instruments are based on sun photometry and + the TO3 is derived from the absorption of solar light in the Huggins band. + +
- The Dobson spectrophotometer is based on the measurements of the ratios
 of two wavelength pairs, while the Brewer spectrophotometer measures
 photon counts at 5 wavelengths allowing the simultaneous measurement of
 ozone and of SO2 column amount.
 - For the separation of the wavelengths the Dobson instruments use 2 and the Brewer 5 slits.
 - The field of view is 8° for Dobson and 3° for Brewer instruments.
 - Dobson instruments have two prisms to separate the respective wavelengths, while Brewer instruments use one or two dispersive elements (holographic gratings).
 - The Dobson assumes all the instrument have the same wavelengths and slits where the Brewer instruments are slightly different from instrument to instrument and determined during calibration.

+

Brewer Optics





The Dobson and Brewer ozone spectrophotometers measure solar direct irradiances at selected UV wavelengths. The ozone calculation in Dobson and Brewer can be summarized by this expression

$$X = \frac{N - B}{A\mu}$$

Where N is a linear combination of the logarithm of the measured spectral direct irradiances, extra-terrestrial (I_o) and ground (textbfl) at selected n wavelengths.

$$N = \sum_{i}^{n} w_i \log(\frac{I_i}{Io_i})$$

+ +

+ ++ +

+ +



+ +

(1)

+

+ +

Dobson & Brewer Algorithm



(3) +

+ +

(4) +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

- +

(5)

A are the ozone absorption coefficient or Differential Cross Section (DXS) and B the Rayleigh coefficient, which are linear combinations of the ozone absorption (α) and Rayleigh molecular scattering (β), respectively, at corresponding wavelengths.

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \alpha_i$$

$$B = \nu \frac{p}{p_o} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \beta_i$$

All the instruments have a certain bandpass or slit function, the measured irradiances I, α_i and β_i are the convolution of the instrument slit function (S) with the corresponding cross sections (xs) or spectral irradiances.

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\int \sigma(\lambda) S_i(\lambda, \lambda') d\lambda}{\int S_i(\lambda, \lambda') d\lambda}$$

Redondas (AEMET)

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

Finally the airmass is defined on the standard algorithm:

$$\mu_x = \sec\left\{ \arcsin\left[rac{R}{R+h_x} \cdot \sin(heta)
ight]
ight\}$$

R : Earth Radius (6370km)

 h_{x} : is the effective height set to $h_{sca} = 5km$ and $h_{O3} = 22km$)

 θ : Solar zenith angle

: Are calculated

$$\mu_x = \sec\left\{ \arcsin\left[rac{R}{R+h_x}\cdot\sin(heta)
ight]
ight\}$$

Calibration Constants

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

 I_o : Extraterrestrial constant: Langley or transferred

B, A, : Are calculated and depend of the wavelength calibration

I : Solar irradiance are measured and depend of the instrumental calibration

(7)

+

+

+ + (8) +

+ +

(9)

+

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+



+

+

⁺ Table 1: Wavelengths and weighting coefficients used in the Dobson and Brewer + operative algorithms.

Brewer	W	SD	FW	SD	Wi	Dobson	W	FW	Wi
slits						slits			+ +
0	303.001				0	A1	305.5	0.9	$^{+1}$
2	306.301	0.014	0.548	0.016	0	C1	311.5	0.9	-0-
- 3	310.051	0.014	0.539	0.015	1	D1	317.5	0.9	+1+
4	313.501	0.015	0.555	0.012	-0.5	A2	325.0	2.9	$\pm 1 +$
	316.801	0.017	0.545	0.012	-2.2	C2	332.4	2.9	+0+
6	320.002	0.019	0.538	0.012	1.7	D2	339.9	2.9	+1+
+ $+$									+ +
+ $+$									+ +
+ + + + •	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	- + + -	+ + +	+ + + -	+ + + -	+ + +	+ +
+ + + + -	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	- + + -	+ + +	+ + + -	+ + + -	+ + +	- + +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +



+ +

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ + + +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

- Diffuse radiation is not considered $I_{measured} = I_{direct} + I_{diffuse}$
- Slits are parametrized, (no wings , no out-bands)
- Temperature in ozone cross section is set to constant value. (-44C / -45C Brewer)
- Height in the ozone layer is constant in Brewer /latitude dependent in Dobson.
- $_{+}$ $_{+}$ \bullet Rayleigh molecular scattering are fixed for all instruments (Bates 1984)
- + $+ \bullet$ Absorber profile is considered a delta at h effective.
- + + Additional absorvers are not considered (SO₂, NO₂, HCLO)

Aditional Absorbvers



• XS are comparable but not the optical depth : $O_3 \approx 100 - 600DU$, $SO_2 \approx 0 - 20DU$, $NO_2 \approx 0 - 3DU$.

Brewer algorithm
 account for SO₂ but not
 Dobson.



Redondas (AEMET)



Redondas (AEMET)

Atmospheric Scattered light



Atmospheric scattered light (ASL):

The Brewer's field of view (FOV) is about 2.7° full angle. Therefore a fraction of the diffuse radiance (circumsolar) is measured together with the direct irradiance. This signal-increase increases with the amount of scattering, i.e. mainly with SZA and aerosols. The net effect is an underestimation of the true ozone (see Bernhard et al. [2005] Arola et al [2004]).



+ +

+ -

+

+

Ozone effective heigth





Dobson mostly account
+ for the observer
+ variation but not
+ Brewer.

+

SBUV a priori profile Ozone Efective height (H_eff) SBUV Ozone (UD) H_{eff} SBUV H_{off} Dobson 30 30 40 52 50 60 H_{eff} brewer -50 Latitude

Ozone cross section asumptions



The temperature dependence of the cross section is not considered (common
 temperature is assumed)



Ozone cross section asumptions



The temperature dependence of the ozone cross section is not considered.



Redondas (AEMET)

Ozone cross section asumptions

Different cross sections gives signifiant different scales and shows different temperature dependences



Instrument Slit



Slits are parametrized on the Brewer, trapezoidal with central wavelength and FWHM set for every instrument and every slit. For Dobson only reference instrument were available.



Stray Light



Due to not perfect slit function the measurements at one wavelength "leak" into those at other wavelengths. Since the stray light level of double Brewers is below 10-7 the ISL is negligible. For single Brewers ($3\times10-5$) this is important. An empirical correction were developed and can be transferred from calibrations and a model who use out of band measurements are also available.



Calibration transfer



Two methods of calibration has ben used : Two parameters calibration until year 2000 and one Parameter calibration after that.

+ Analysis of the errors due calibration transfer are not done yet.



Советно Инстанование Анексание Анекс

+ Two methods of calibration has ben used on Brewer : Two parameters calibration
 + until year 2000 and one Parameter calibration after that.



Direct Model (Brewer Dobson)



(10)

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

$$F_{DIR} = F_0 \cdot \exp\left[-\mu \cdot \tau\right]$$

- F_{DIR} : Direct sun irradiance (at wavelength λ).
 - F_0 : Extraterrestrial irradiance corrected for Sun-Earth distance.
 - τ : Total vertical extinction optical depth.
 - μ : Air mass factors

+ ++ + $\mu \cdot \tau = \mu_{O_3} \cdot \tau_{O_3} + \mu_{SCA} \cdot \tau_{SCA} + \mu_{AER} \cdot \tau_{AER} + \mu_{SO_2} \cdot \tau_{SO_2} + \mu_{REST} \cdot \tau_{REST}$ + ++ + $+ \theta_3$, SO₂, SCA : O₃ and SO₂ absorption, Molecular scattering + ++ +AER, REST : Aerosol extinction and everything else... (NO2, HCHO, ...) + ++ ++ ++ + $\ln I_{DIR} = \ln I_0 - \mu_{O3} \cdot \tau *_{O3} \cdot \Omega_{O3} - \mu_{SCA} \cdot \tau_{SCA} - \dots$ + ++ + $\mu_{AER} \cdot \tau_{AER} - \mu_{SO2} \cdot \tau_{*SO2} \cdot \Omega_{SO2} - \mu_{REST} \cdot \tau_{*REST} \cdot \Omega_{REST}$ + + $\tau_{x} = \Omega_{x} \cdot \tau_{x}^{*}$ + ++ ++ + + + $au *_{\star}$: Optical Depth for 1DU + + + + + + + + + ++ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

Direct Model Brewer Dobson

+ +

+ ++ +

 $+ \Omega_{O_3}$ + ++ +

+ ++ +

+ ++ +

+ +

+ ++ +

+ ++ +



$$\Omega_{O_{3}} = \frac{\ln I_{DIR} - \ln I_{0} - \mu_{SCA} \cdot \frac{p}{p_{o}} \cdot \tau_{SCA} - \mu_{aer} \cdot \tau_{aer} - \mu_{SO_{2}} \cdot \tau_{SO_{2}}^{*} \cdot \Omega_{SO_{2}} - \mu_{x} \cdot \tau_{x}^{*} \cdot \Omega_{x}}{\mu_{O_{3}} \cdot \tau_{O_{3}}}$$

$$p : \text{Station pressure}$$

$$p_{o} : \text{Standard pressure}$$

$$\mu_{x} = \sec \left\{ \arccos \left[\frac{R}{R + h_{x}} \cdot \sin(\theta) \right] \right\}$$

$$R : \text{Earth Radius}$$

$$h_{x} : \text{Effective height abs } x.$$

$$\theta : \text{Solar zenith angle}$$

+ + + + +

+ + +

+

Direct Model Brewer Dobson



+ + + +

0	$P6 - P7 - P2 \cdot P9 - P3 \cdot P10 - P4 \cdot P11 - P5 \cdot P12$
7703 —	$P1 \cdot P8$

Parameter	Source	+ +
P1-P2	μ_x	$h_{O_3} = 22km, h_{SCA} = 5km, $
P3-P5	μ_x	$h_{AER} = 2km, h_{SO_2} = 2km, h_{REST} = 2km$
-P6	InFo	Assume obtained by Langley extrapolations at high mountain
-P7	InF _{DIR}	Measured corrected count rates (ISL, ASL!) + +
-P8	$\tau^{*}_{O_{3}}$	Use Bass Paur [1985] cross sections, $(\mathit{Teff}_{O_3} = -45^\circ \mathit{C})^+ +$
-P9	τ^*_{SCA}	Use Bodhaine et al. [1999], standard pressure + +
P10	$ au_{aer}^*$	Assume Angstrom behavior + +
P11	$ au_{SO_2}^*$	Use Vandaele et al. [1994] cross sections and $\Omega_{SO_2}=1DU^+$
P12	τ^*_{REST}	$\Omega_{NO_2}=0.7DU$ and $\Omega_{HCLO}=1DU$ and \dots (=urban polluted)
+	•	+ +
+ + + + -	+ + + +	. + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

+ + +

+ +

+

+

+

+

+

Direct Model (Independent Variables)



	+	Var		Remark
	V1	θ	$0.12^{\circ} (0.01^{\circ})$	Assume 30s registration time uncertainty (
	-V2	RAD	4%	Radiometric calibration (all slits) $+$ +
	-V3	RADIND	0%	Radiometric calibration for each slit, l-inde
-	-V4	F noise	Figure	Photon count noise, I-independent $+$ +
	V5	$\Delta\lambda$	0.01nm (0.004nm)	Wavelength shift, (directly after Hg-test) +
	V6	$Teff_{O_3}$	20° (5°, 1°)	Effective Temp. O_3 temperature (5° climat
	V7	P/P0	1% (0.1%)	Surface pressure (if measured)
	V8	$ au_{340}$	0.75 (0.04)	AOD at 340nm (if measured)
	V9	$lpha_{ m 340}$	0.7 (0.1)	Angstrom parameter at 340nm (if measure
	V10	Ω_{SO_2}	100%	Total SO2 column
	V11	Ω_{REST}	100%	Total column of other gases (mainly NO2)
	V12	h _{O3}	5km (2km, 0.5km)	Eff O3 height (2km climatology, 0.5km sor
	V13	h _{SCA}	0.2km	Effective scattering height
	V14	h _{AER}	4km	Effective aerosol height
	V15	h_{SO_2}	10km	Effective SO2 height
	V16	h _{REST}	10km	Effective height of other gases

$$\Omega_{O_3} = \frac{\ln I_{DIR} - \ln I_0 - \mu_{SCA} \cdot \frac{P}{P_o} \cdot \tau_{SCA} - \mu_{aer} \cdot \tau_{aer} - \mu_{SO_2} \cdot \tau_{SO_2}^* \cdot \Omega_{SO_2} - \mu_x \cdot \tau_x^* + \Omega_x}{\mu_{O_3} \cdot \tau_{O_3}}$$

$$\Omega_{O_3} = \frac{P6 - P7 - P2 \cdot P9 - P3 \cdot P10 - P4 \cdot P11 - P5 \cdot P12}{P1 \cdot P8}$$

$$\sigma_{\Omega O_3}^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial \Omega_{O_3}}{\partial V_i}\right)^2 \cdot \sigma_{V_i}^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega_{O_3}}{\partial V_i} = \sum_j \frac{\partial \Omega_{O_3}}{\partial P_j} \cdot \frac{\partial P_j}{\partial V_i}$$

+

+

+

+

+

+

Direct Model (Brewer #171 results)

Total ozone from single wavelength

Small improvement

→ Down to ~4% uncertainty

Problems: AOD, noise, absolute radiometric calibration

→ Use more wavelengths



GOBIERNO DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, ALIMENTACIÓN Y MEDIO AMBIENTE





Direct Model (Brewer #171 results)

Total ozone from 4 wavelengths 310, 313, 317, 320nm TOTAL SZA RADALL Without climatological input: RADIND Brewer w=[0.58, -0.29, -1.29, 1] NOISE Λλ. Here w=[0.31, 0.31, -1.62, 1] **TO3EFF** P/P0 τAER340 **TO3EFF sensitivity reduced** α**340** \rightarrow From ~3% uncertainty to ~2% uncertainty ∆SO2 ∆NO2+ hO3EFF Problems: hO3EFF, other gases, SZA hSCAEFF hAEREFF hSO2EFF \rightarrow Use climatological input and internet time hNO2EFF OPTIMIZED WEIGHTS OPERATIONAL BREWER RETRIEVAL 3.5 3.5 -UNCERTAINTY OF TOTAL OZONE [%] -UNCERTAINTY OF TOTAL OZONE [%] å 20 30 40 50 SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE [°] 70 30 40 50 SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE [°] 10 60 10 20 60 70 80

Redondas (AEMET)

+

+

+

+

+

+

GOBIERNO DE ESPAÑA MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, ALIMENTACIÓN Y MEDIO AMBIENTE

Direct Model (Brewer #171 results)

Total ozone from 6 wavelengths 303, 306, 310, 313, 317, 320nm

Without climatological input: Brewer w=[0 , 0 , 0.58, -0.29, -1.29, 1] SZA<70° w=[0.50, -0.14, -0.99, 1.30, -1.67, 1] SZA=80 ° w=[0 , 0.06 , 0.19, 0.36, -1.61, 1]

TO3EFF sensitivity reduced →From ~3% uncertainty to <0.5% uncertainty

Problem: wavelength shift, noise dependent weights

\rightarrow Use climatological input and internet time







+

+

+

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ + +



+ +

+ + +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

- Radiometric calibration need to be revised.
- Wavelength calibration
- + $+ \bullet$ Langley and Calibration transfer errors has to be addressed.
- + +• Stray Light
- + + EUBREWNET database can be used to get the error parameters several instruments.

Comparison of new characterized bandpass and wavelengths of Dobsons

Alberto Redondas, ¹

¹Izaña Atmospheric Research Center, AEMET, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain.

October 27, 2015



+ +

+ +

Universidad de La Laguna

Redondas (AEMET)

 $^{+}$ + +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

The ozone absorption coefficient is calculated using the Brewer operative method witch is the same that 'approximation method' used by Bernhard for Dobson in 2005.

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\int \sigma(\lambda) \, S_i(\lambda, \lambda') \, d\lambda}{\int S_i(\lambda, \lambda') d\lambda}$$

- S is the instrument slit function for the corresponding wavelength
- σ the ozone cross section at Fixed temperature, -46.3 C for Dobson Network and -45C for Brewer instruments
- * The Dobson's assumes that all the instruments have the same slit function and wavelength center as reference instrument #083.
- + +* Brewer network every instrument have a measured slit and central
 + + wavelengths calculated during calibration.

+ +

+ +

+



Dobson #083 Slit measurement



AEMe

Agencia Estatal de Meteo

GOBIERNO DE ESPAÑA MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, ALIMENTACIÓN Y MEDIO AMBIENTE

Dobson #083 Slit measurement



Dobson Slit Measurements :

- Bell Brothers Digitalised 1992, (Komhyr 1898) Dobson #083
- Evans et all 2012 (Avaspec) Dobson #083



Dobson #083 Slit measurement



- Bernhard 2005, use a new parametrisation.



ATMOZ Slit measurement



ATMOZ 2014-2015, tunneable lasser measuremetns at PTB



Figure 1. Bandpass function measurements at the PLACOS setup

ATMOZ Slit measurement



ATMOZ 2014-2015, tunneable lasser measuremetns at PTB



ATMOZ Slit measurement



ATMOZ 2014-2015, tunneable lasser measuremetns at PTB



ATMOZ 2014-2015, tunneable lasser measuremetns at PTB



Redondas (AEMET)

ATMOZ Meeting Prage 2014

October 27, 2015 44 / 53

ATMOZ 2014-2015, tunneable lasser measuremetns at PTB





ATMOZ 2014-2015, tunneable lasser measuremetns at PTB



Redondas (AEMET)

ATMOZ Meeting Prage 2014

October 27, 2015 46 / 53

Slits & Cross Sections used





Figure 1: Studied XS at 228K and slit functions of Dobson

Redondas (AEMET)

October 27, 2015 47 / 53



- + $+ \bullet$ **Brewer Operative (BOp)** : Is the B&P at 228K without any adjustment.+ ++ +'Not available at IGACO but at -Mainz Spectral Database-. + +
- + +**IGACO B&P IGQ4** Individual temperatures: Six individual temperatures. + +This files do not agree with B&P paper and do not include -45 $^+$ + ++ +C set. This dataset appears to be spectra at selected temperatures + ++ +calculated from the polynomial fitted to the original data excluding 218K + +(Weber 2011), + +
- + +Quadratic coefficients on the file "Bp.par" Used In this work to be consistent with the Komhyr determination of Bass & Paur on Dobson.

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ ++ +

+ +

+ +

Ozone Cross Sections Analyzed



Daumont, Brion & Malicet (DBM), Measured at 5 temperatures, ٥ + +including 228K also we use the quadratic fit for the temperature dependence + studies. We also use the Liu 2007 quadratic fit excluded the 273°K set . + +

HARMONICS :Serdyuchenco et all (IUP), The newly determined data+ + + + • set from Bremen University, Institute for e Environmental Physics (IUP) are + +also available at IGACO with ten temperatures files and the quadratic fit + +(IUPQ). + +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +



Figure 2: Ratio of the cross-sections of this study to the IGACO Bass & Paur (IGQ4) ,
 the cross section are interpolated to a common resolution (0.1 nm) and smoothed to the
 Brewer resolution

Redondas (AEMET)

Central Wavelengths Dobson 064



+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

	Komhyr	Bernhard	Atmoz 64	Komhyr	Bernhard	Atmoz 64
A1	305.5	305.50	305.51	0.99	1.01	1.04
B1	NaN	308.90	NaN	NaN	1.02	NaN
C1	311.50	311.50	311.50	1.04	1.06	1.09
D1	317.50	317.50	317.64	1.13	1.20	1.34
A2	325.18	325.00	325.08	3.48	3.30	3.54
B2	NaN	329.10	NaN	NaN	3.50	NaN
C2	332.75	332.40	332.44	3.64	3.71	3.80
D2	339.89	339.90	339.97	4.06	4.20	4.06

+ + +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

Ratio to operative values

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

GOBIERNO DE ESPAÑA	MINISTERIO DE AGRICULTURA, ALIMENTACIÓN Y MEDIO AMBIENTE	AEMet Agencia Estatal de Meteorologia
-----------------------	--	--

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+

D64	Brewe r b&p	IGACO BP	D&M	IUP	B&P B	Komhy r apr	Komhy r	Komhy r adj	Bard app	Bernh ard
A pair	0.9909	0.9904	0.9888	0.9897	0.9967	0.9978	1.0000	1.0000	0.9967	0.9994
C pair	NaN	0.9862	0.9796	0.9874	0.9934	0.9976	1.0000	1.0000	0.9940	0.9988
D pair	NaN	0.9627	0.9464	0.9540	0.9722	0.9866	0.9813	1.0000	0.9973	1.0080
AD pair	NaN	0.9977	0.9999	0.9990	1.0031	1.0007	1.0049	1.0000	0.9965	0.9972
CD pair	NaN	1.0054	1.0067	1.0146	1.0106	1.0065	1.0153	1.0000	0.9913	0.9913
D83	Brewe r b&p	IGACO BP	D&M	IUP	B&P B	Komhy r apr	Komhy r	Komhy r adj	Bernh ard a	Bernh ard
A pair	0.9973	0.9906	0.9889	0.9898	0.9969	0.9978	1.0000	1.0000	0.9967	0.9994
C pair	NaN	0.9911	0.9845	0.9922	0.9983	0.9976	1.0000	1.0000	0.9940	0.9988
D pair	NaN	0.9730	0.9562	0.9642	0.9825	0.9866	0.9813	1.0000	0.9973	1.0080
AD pair	NaN	0.9952	0.9975	0.9965	1.0006	1.0007	1.0049	1.0000	0.9965	0.9972
CD pair	NaN	1.0059	1.0076	1.0150	1.0112	1.0065	1.0153	1.0000	0.9913	0.9913

Redondas (AEMET)

+

October 27, 2015 51 / 53

Ratio to operative values

+

+

+

+

+

+

+



	Brewer b&p	Brw b&p *	IGACO BP	IGACO BP *	D&M	D&M *	IUP	IUP*	B&P B	B&P B*
A pair	0.991	0.997	0.990	0.991	0.989	0.989	0.990	0.990	0.997	0.997
C pair			0.986	0.991	0.980	0.985	0.98 7	0.992	0.993	0.998
D pair			0.963	0.973	0.946	0.956	0.954	0.964	0.972	0.983
AD pair			0.998	0.995	1.000	0.997	0.999	0.997	1.003	1.001
CD pair			1.005	1.006	1.007	1.008	1.015	1.015	1.011	1.011

(Asterisk values are for Dobson 83)

Redondas (AEMET)

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +



+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ +

+ + + +

+ +

+ +

- The ATMOZ measurements replicates the Komhyr one with small differences, 0.1nm in the worst case.
- The cross section ratio to the operational value is directly the change on the calculated ozone. There are minimal changes in AD pair (the most used) 0.3 + % in the worst case and something slightly larger on CD 1% pair, this values + slightly change depending of the cross section used.

+ + + + + + + + + + +